From: Block, Molly [block.molly@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 8/24/2020 8:34:18 PM

To: Leopold, Matt (OGC) [Leopold.Matt@epa.gov]

CC: Bolen, Brittany [bolen.brittany@epa.gov]; Gunasekara, Mandy [gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov]; Fotouhi, David

[Fotouhi.David@epa.gov]; Hewitt, James [hewitt.james@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: MEDIA INQUIRY: Pebble Mine

Ok. Will send.

From: Leopold, Matt (OGC) < Leopold.Matt@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Monday, August 24, 2020 4:33 PM **To:** Block, Molly <a href="mailto:block.molly@epa.gov">block.molly@epa.gov</a>

Cc: Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Gunasekara, Mandy <gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>; Fotouhi, David

<Fotouhi.David@epa.gov>; Hewitt, James <hewitt.james@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: MEDIA INQUIRY: Pebble Mine

Hi Molly, I don't think it's redundant. It is the predicate to understand the quote. Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 24, 2020, at 4:17 PM, Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov> wrote:

Sending this (the first paragraph in the statement is redundant and already public knowledge)

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: Block, Molly

Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 4:15 PM

To: Leopold, Matt (OGC) < Leopold. Matt@epa.gov>

Cc: Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Gunasekara, Mandy <gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>;

Fotouhi, David <fotouhi.david@epa.gov>; Hewitt, James <Hewitt.James@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: MEDIA INQUIRY: Pebble Mine

Will do.

From: Leopold, Matt (OGC) < Leopold. Matt@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Monday, August 24, 2020 4:15 PM **To:** Block, Molly < block.molly@epa.gov>

Cc: Bolen, Brittany <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>; Gunasekara, Mandy <gunasekara.Mandy@epa.gov>;

Fotouhi, David < Fotouhi. David @epa.gov >; Hewitt, James < hewitt.james@epa.gov >

Subject: Re: MEDIA INQUIRY: Pebble Mine

Please send the statement from my email at 12:47 pm, which both I and Mandy approved. Please proofread before sending and let us know if there are any nits.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 24, 2020, at 3:49 PM, Block, Molly <br/>
<a href="mailto:block.molly@epa.gov">block.molly@epa.gov</a>> wrote:

First inquiry. What was the consensus on the statement from EPA?

From: Lara Beaven < <a href="mailto:lbeaven@iwpnews.com">lbeaven@iwpnews.com</a> Sent: Monday, August 24, 2020 3:47 PM

**To:** Press < <u>Press@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** Pebble Mine

Does EPA have a statement about the Army Corps of Engineers' request for a wetlands compensatory mitigation plan for the planned Pebble Mine?

## Lara Beaven

Senior Editor *Inside EPA* 571-277-6292